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Abstract

A 42-day experiment was performed to evaluate the growth performance and feed utilization of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings fed iso-lipidic diets (5% lipid) contain 17% or 25% of cassava starch extract from fresh root (R starch) or from dry chips (D-starch) at 37% and 30% protein levels. The diets were: 37% protein - FM15R25 & FM15D25, 30% Protein- FM15R25 & FM13D25. 144 fish (1.11±0.02g) were distributed in 12 tanks (54 L). Protein level or starch source had no impact on final weight, length, average daily gain, specific growth rate, food conversion ratio, hepato somatic index, viscero somatic index, and Whole-body composition of fish. Protein Efficiency Ratio was not affected by starch source; however, it was significantly higher in diets with low protein content. Apparent Digestibility Coefficient of dry matter was not affected by starch source but was significantly high in low (30%) protein diet. Inclusion of R starch substantially reduced the cost of feed and increased economic profitability. Tilapia fed FM15R25 diet showed similar growth and nutrient utilization to fish fed 37% protein diets and cost of FM15R25 diet was lower than other diets. Therefore, FM15R25 is the best practical diet among the tested diets for Nile tilapia fingerlings.

Introduction

Global food fish aquaculture is playing a significant role in providing sufficient amount of food fish for world’s growing population (FAO, 2018). Asian countries dominated in aquaculture production and produced 89.4% of world aquaculture production (FAO, 2018). Fish require high amount of high quality protein for best growth and maintenance compared to terrestrial animals (Wee and Ng, 1986). Protein is the most expensive nutrient in the fish feed production, which contributes to the major part of the total feed cost (Rahman et al., 2017) and fish meal is the major protein source in fish feed preparation. Therefore, exploring various ways to reduce feed cost by using alternative nutrient sources to limit the use of fish meal in diet preparation is a major concern in fish nutrition research (Wang et al., 2017). Further, utilizing the protein-sparing action of carbohydrates or lipid is another option to reduce the amount of fish meal required in fish feed formulation (Wee and Ng, 1986). Non-protein nutrients such as lipids and carbohydrates have protein sparing potential in diets (Stone et al, 2003). Lipids are excellent as an energy source but they are expensive.
than the plant carbohydrates. Therefore, carbohydrate sources can be used to spare protein especially in omnivorous fish as they can utilize more carbohydrates than other carnivore fish (Stone et al., 2003). Carbohydrates can be included at optimum levels in aquaculture diets to reduce feed costs and also as a binder during feed manufacturing (Fayose and Ogunlowo, 2012). Further starches and sugars are the most economical and inexpensive source of energy for fish diets (Shiau and Peng, 1993).

Cassava (Manihot esculenta) is a rich carbohydrate source and starch extracted from cassava roots is a cheap, highly available non-protein energy source, which has been tested as a fish feed ingredient in many studies (Wee and Ng, 1986; Ubalua and Ezeryone, 2008, Breuninger et al., 2009). However, cassava was not very popular as an ingredient of fish diet due to the high cyanide content (Montagnac et al., 2009). Cyanide in Cassava can be found as bound glucosides, cyanoxydrins, and free cyanide. Extracted starch from the fresh root, are gratted and ground with water and the cyanide will be dissolved in supernatant water. Extracted starch from dry chips are processed using mechanical drying, such as in an oven, and natural drying by the sun (Oke, 1994). Toxicity of the cyanide in Cassava can be removed through different processing methods, used for the starch extraction (Montagnac et al., 2009). Some studies were carried out to study the feasibility of using cassava starch as a feed ingredient in order to enhance the growth performance and the survival of cultured fish species. Wee and Tuan, (1988) recorded that when Oreochromis niloticus fed with five diets containing five levels of protein (20%, 28%, 35%, 43%, 50%) and Cassava starch (51.4%, 39.8%, 27.7%, 16.1%, 3.6%) the highest growth was obtained by fish fed diet containing 35% dietary protein level and 27.7% cassava starch. They have concluded that, fish were progressively improving growth response with increasing dietary protein levels up to 35% crude protein. In another study Cyprinus carpio fingerlings were fed with isonitrogenic diets containing 15%, 30% or 45% of cassava and a control diet that did not contain any digestible carbohydrates for 10 weeks (Ufodike and Matty,1983) and fish grew best in the diet contained 45% cassava, 30% fishmeal compared to the control diet having 0% cassava and 30%fish meal.

Cassava is a very common locally available food ingredient in Sri Lanka and cassava fresh root can be obtained at a very cheap price in the local markets. Furthermore, surplus in production during the harvesting period, causes very low demand for the cassava production and becomes an unutilized food source Cassava starch can be extracted using both fresh roots and dry chips (Meuser et al., 1978). Purity of the starch varies with the source and the purest starch was extracted from the fresh roots compared to dry chips (Meuser et al, 1978). Cassava starch is comparatively low price ingredient and incorporation of cassava starch may reduce the production cost of fish feed.

Nile tilapia considered as the second most cultured fish after carp, which are farmed in over 100 countries (FAO, 2019). As the market price for tilapia is low, to achieve a least-cost production for global demand, research has been focused on the formulation of a cost effective feed for tilapia farming, incorporating high levels of dietary carbohydrates (Boonanuntasarn et al., 2018). Nile Tilapia can utilize high amount of carbohydrate (up to 27.7%) and different carbohydrate sources, such as starch, glucose and dextrin (Wee and Tuan, 1988; Shiau and Peng, 1993; Boonanuntasarn et al, 2018). Tilapia pond culture has been widely practice in Sri Lanka at present with the support of the National Aquaculture Development Authority of Sri Lanka (Amarasinghe, 2013). Many farmers in rural areas culture tilapia as small scale aquaculture practice and, the lack of suitable feed is a major concern of these farmers. Hence, developing low cost feeds for tilapia will help to develop sustainable culture (Amarasinghe, 2013). The present study will focus on using cassava starch as a low cost ingredient in tilapia feeds.

During the harvesting period, a major problem of cassava production is the rapid postharvest deterioration of cassava roots which usually prevents their storage in the fresh state for more than a few days (Somendrika et al., 2016). Therefore, large amount of harvested cassava roots is wasted without consuming. However, if farmers can produce starch from their excess cassava harvest which cannot be marketable, it can be a better way to utilize this unutilized resource and they will be able to obtain some extra profit from their wasted harvest.

However, there is no sufficient information in the literature on using cassava starch as a fish feed ingredient in Sri Lanka. Although cassava starch is a cheap feed ingredient, yet it is not used in small scale pond culture in Sri Lanka. Therefore, the specific aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of varying levels (17%, 25%) of two starch sources (extracted from fresh root or dry chips) at two dietary protein levels (37% and 30%) in diets on growth performance and feed utilization of Nile tilapia fingerlings and to determine the cost effectiveness of the experimental diets.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Design

Nile tilapia fingerlings (4.03±0.01cm, 1.11±0.02 g) were purchased from Muruthawela NAQDA center and brought to the aquarium of Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences & Technology of University of Ruhuna. They were acclimatized for two days and randomly distributed among the twelve rectangular glass tanks (60cm×30cm×30cm) at a stocking density of 12 fry/tank. Each treatment had 3 replicates. Tanks were filled with dechlorinated water (45L) and aerated using mechanical aerators and natural photoperiod was used during the experimental period.
Preparation of Starch Extract from Fresh Cassava Roots

Starch was extracted using fresh cassava roots using following procedure. Fresh cassava roots were peeled, washed and grated. Two liters of water was added to 1kg of grated cassava and ground using a high speed blender (Preethi MG 176 600 W) for 10 minutes. The pulp was filtered using a double folded cotton cloth. The filtrate was allowed to stand for 2 hrs to settle starch and top supernatant was removed. 200ml of water was added to the sediment and stirred again for 5 minutes and filtered. This process was repeated three times to remove impurities and after decanting the supernatant, final sediment layer was dried in sun light for 72 hours. Then dried starch was crushed, sieved and stored in a refrigerator at -20°C (Abera and Rakshit, 2003).

Preparation of Starch Extract from Dry Cassava Chips

Starch was extracted using dry cassava chips using following procedure. Grated fresh cassava were sun dried for 3 days to prepare dry chips and ground using a high speed blender and then flour was mixed with water. The pulp was filtered and the sediment layer was collected and dried according to the previous procedure. Finally, the dried starch layer was crushed, sieved and stored in a refrigerator at -20°C (Abera and Rakshit, 2003).

Feed Preparation

Four experimental diets were formulated to contain one of two protein levels (37%, 30%) by altering the carbohydrate level using two different starch extractions (R starch - extract from cassava fresh root or D starch - extract from dry cassava chips) (Table 1). The dry ingredients were finely ground and sieved through a 1/100-inch sieved and mixed thoroughly. Warm water (20°C) was added (25%) slowly to the mixture with continuous stirring until a dough was formed. The dough was steamed for 15 minutes and pelleted using a hand pelleting machine. Extruded pellets (4 mm diameter) were oven-dried at 60°C for 12 hrs, stored in plastic bags and kept at -20°C in the freezer.

Experimental Procedure

The fish were hand fed with respective diet to satiation at 08:00 a.m and 04:00 p.m. during the experimental period. The fecal matter was siphoned out daily before feeding the fish and optimum aeration was supplied. Tanks were cleaned and 1/3 of water removed by siphoning at two-day intervals and refilled using dechlorinated water. Dissolved oxygen was measured once a week using a water quality meter (PRO2030). Nitrite, nitrate & ammonia were measured once a week using a laboratory test kit (ZOOLEK). Temperature was measured daily using a thermometer and pH was measured once a week using a pH meter (EUTECH pH6).

Length and weight of individual fish at the beginning of the experiment and at fortnightly intervals were taken using a measuring board (1 mm) and an electronic balance (0.01 g) respectively. Fish were sacrificed at the end of the growth trail and liver and viscera were removed. Average Daily Weight Gain (ADG). Percentage Specific Growth Rate (SGR) Hepatosomatic Index (HSI) and Viscerosomatic Index (VSI), Food consumption (% Bwt/day), Feed conversion ratio (FCR) Protein efficiency ratio (PER) and condition factor were used to evaluate the growth performance and feed utilization of tilapia fry (Ricker, 1979).

Feed ingredients, experimental diets and fish carcasses were analyzed for proximate composition using the standard methods (AOAC, 1990). Moisture content was determined by using drying method, using an oven at 105°C. Crude protein content was determined by using Kjeldahl method. Ash content was determined by using combustion method, using a muffle furnace at 550°C. Crude lipid content was determined by using procedure introduced by Folch (1957).

Fecal Collection and Digestibility Analysis

Feces were collected by siphoning water using a rubber hose (5mm diameter) on to a hand net and the collected feces were dried to a constant weight in the oven at 50°C and stored in bottles. In each tank dried fecal samples were pooled and subjected for the digestibility analysis. The apparent digestibility coefficient (ADC) was calculated for dry matter (Furukawa and Tsukahara, 1966).

Economic Analysis of Diets

The economic evaluation determined using the following indices (Agbo, 2008).

\[
\text{Incidence of cost} = \frac{\text{Cost of feed (Rs)}}{\text{Weight of fish produced (g)}}
\]

\[
\text{Profit index} = \frac{\text{Value of fish (Rs)}}{\text{Cost of feed (Rs)}}
\]

Water Quality Analysis

Dissolved oxygen was measured once a week using a water quality meter (PRO2030). Nitrite, nitrate & ammonia were measured once a week using a laboratory test kit (ZOOLEK). Temperature was measured daily using a thermometer and pH was measured once a week using a pH meter (EUTECH pH6).

Statistical Analysis

All the statistical analyses were accomplished with the SPSS statistical package (IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0). Data was presented as means with the standard error. All the data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA to test
for effect of protein level or effect of starch source or interaction of two factors on the growth and feed performance of fish (P<0.05).

Results

Growth Performance and Feed Utilization

Nile tilapia fingerlings accepted all experimental diets and did not show any abnormal behaviors or symptoms during the experimental period. The water quality parameters in the tank water were not different among the treatments and not influenced by the dietary protein level and starch source. Fish in all treatments showed good survival and there was no difference of survival rate between the treatments (Table 2).

The total length and weight of fingerlings increased two fold and nine fold over six weeks period respectively (Table 2). Final weight varied from 1.09±0.02 to 1.14±0.04 g not affected by the protein level or starch source (Figure 1 and 2). ADG, SGR, HSI, VSI and condition factor of fish in different treatments were not affected by the protein level or starch extraction method. Protein efficiency ratio (PER) of Nile tilapia fingerlings was varied within 1.5±0.04 to 2.31±0.07 and the PER was influenced by the dietary protein level. High dietary protein level resulted a lower PER in diet and low dietary protein level resulted a higher PER. However, the starch extraction method had no impact on PER and there was no interaction effect between dietary protein level and starch source on PER.

Table 1. Formulation (g/100g) and proximate composition (%), (dry weight basis) of experimental diets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>FM15R17</th>
<th>FM15D17</th>
<th>FM15R25</th>
<th>FM15D25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish meal</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coconut meal</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean oil</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin &amp; mineral mix</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassava starch (fresh root)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassava starch (dry chips)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr2O3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>5.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate</td>
<td>36.01</td>
<td>35.99</td>
<td>41.33</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ)</td>
<td>1534.95</td>
<td>1534.91</td>
<td>1521.94</td>
<td>1521.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein: Energy (mgkJ⁻¹)</td>
<td>24.23</td>
<td>24.20</td>
<td>20.35</td>
<td>20.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The preparation costs for experimental diets ranged from Rs/kg 190.04 to 242.64. The incidence cost (IC) of the diets was not affected by the inclusion levels of protein or extraction method of cassava starch. However, Profit index was influenced by the dietary protein level but not affected by the starch source. The profit index was higher for diets with low protein (30%) compared to diets with high protein level (37%) (Table 6).

Discussion

The growth performance of fish was not affected by dietary protein level (30% or 37% protein) or the extraction method of starch or starch level. The fact that growth performance in terms of ADG and SGR was not...
influenced by decreasing dietary protein level from 37% to 30% and concurrently increasing starch level from 17% to 25% in Nile tilapia fingerling, indicating that cassava starch can spare some protein when the dietary protein is low. Similar results were reported by Azaza et al. (2015) in which a satisfactory growth performance of *O. niloticus* was observed when the dietary protein reduced from 344 to 258 g/kg while increasing starch from 163.5 to 401.3 g/kg. Comparable growth performance of Nile tilapia fish was observed for fish fed 16% of gelatinized cornstarch and 28% protein when compared to the control diet containing 35% of protein. (Maurice et al., 2018).

Table 2: Growth performance (mean ± SE) of Nile tilapia fed the experimental diets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Experimental diets</th>
<th>Significance Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FM12R17</td>
<td>FM12D17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial length (cm)</td>
<td>3.99±0.01</td>
<td>4.02±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial weight (g)</td>
<td>1.11±0.05</td>
<td>1.09±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final length (cm)</td>
<td>8.08±0.31</td>
<td>8.23±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final weight (g)</td>
<td>9.20±1.19</td>
<td>9.31±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADG (%)</td>
<td>17.71±3.7</td>
<td>18.04±0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGR (%)</td>
<td>5.0±0.41</td>
<td>5.11±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>1.72±0.03</td>
<td>1.67±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSI</td>
<td>0.95±0.18</td>
<td>0.93±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSI</td>
<td>9.51±0.73</td>
<td>8.35±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food consumption (%BW/Day)</td>
<td>10.61±0.25</td>
<td>9.92±0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCR</td>
<td>1.47±0.29</td>
<td>1.53±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PER</td>
<td>1.5±0.04</td>
<td>1.64±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival</td>
<td>91.67±4.31</td>
<td>80.56±5.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means were evaluated using two-way ANOVA (P<0.05).
ns - not significant
*** - significant at 0.05 level

Table 3: Apparent Digestibility Coefficient (ADC) (mean ± SE) of Nile tilapia fed experiment diets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>ADC dry matter</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Starch</th>
<th>P×S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FM12R17</td>
<td>83.56±0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM12D17</td>
<td>83.57±0.15</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM12R25</td>
<td>84.77±0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM12D25</td>
<td>84.97±0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values were evaluated using two-way ANOVA (P<0.05)
ns: not significant
*** significant at 0.05 level
Maintaining the water quality parameters for fingerlings of Nile tilapia at optimum level is essential to avoid the stressful conditions of fish and to achieve best growth, feed conversion and survival (Masser et al., 1999). As most important limiting factor for fish kept in tanks, the concentration of ammonia for Nile tilapia should be less than 0.05 mg/L, and optimum levels for temperature (22-28 °C), dissolved oxygen (5 mg/L), pH (7-8), Nitrate (should be less than 0.5 mg/L) should be maintained (Siddiqui et al., 1989, Masser et al., 1999). The obtained water quality parameters during the experimental period in the present study were within the optimum range for tilapia culture.

The FCR obtained in current study (1.47-1.65) were comparable to values reported in previous studies on tilapia. Wee and Ng (1986) reported that good feed conversion ratios of tilapia were obtained in all diets with an average of 1.4. Azaza et al. (2015) reported that FCR values tended to increase from 1.5 to 1.7 with the decrease of dietary protein level while increasing starch level. FCR value depends on various factors like dietary protein level, protein quality, carbohydrate level, sex of fish, water quality and fish activity (Wee and Ng, 1986, Hasan and Khan, 2013).

PER was significantly increased with decreasing dietary protein level and that showed fish can efficiently utilize lower quantity of dietary protein while showing comparable growth in the present study. Sá et al. (2008) also stated that the protein efficiency ratio increased significantly as the dietary starch level increased, independently of the starch source. The results of this present study revealed that the fingerling Nile tilapia fed diets with cassava starch using two extraction methods exhibited good growth and showed better feed utilization even at the 25% (low) dietary protein level. When optimum carbohydrate level and protein level contain in the diet, it would reduce the cost of feed and mitigate the environmental impacts such as eutrophication occur due to excess nitrogen excretion (Azaza et al., 2015; Maurice et al., 2018).

Table 4. Whole-body proximate composition (% Dry weight basis) (mean ± SE) of Nile tilapia fed experimental diets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Initial body composition</th>
<th>Final body composition</th>
<th>Significance Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture%</td>
<td>72.41±0.04</td>
<td>73.08±0.26</td>
<td>73.61±0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein%</td>
<td>57.9±0.24</td>
<td>61.27±0.72</td>
<td>59.7±0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid%</td>
<td>9.13±0.11</td>
<td>10.64±0.38</td>
<td>10.95±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash%</td>
<td>8.11±0.13</td>
<td>8.91±0.55</td>
<td>8.24±0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means were evaluated using two-way ANOVA (P<0.05).
ns - not significant
*** - significant at 0.05 level

Table 5. Water quality parameters of experimental tanks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Significance Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature(°C)</td>
<td>27.86±0.02</td>
<td>27.82±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.77±0.14</td>
<td>6.79±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO(mg/L)</td>
<td>5.89±0.11</td>
<td>5.91±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO2⁻</td>
<td>0.14±0.04</td>
<td>0.15±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO3⁻</td>
<td>1.63±0.01</td>
<td>1.63±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means were evaluated using two-way ANOVA (P<0.05).
ns - not significant
*** - significant at 0.05 level

Table 6. Economic analysis of experimental diets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Experiment diet</th>
<th>Significance Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cost (Rs/kg)</td>
<td>230.74</td>
<td>242.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence cost (IC)</td>
<td>0.34±0.01</td>
<td>0.41±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit Index</td>
<td>1.32±0.03</td>
<td>1.29±0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total cost of feeds were calculated (market value of ingredients + estimated cost for preparation which was considered as Rs. 30/kg).
Means were analyzed using two-way ANOVA (P<0.05).
ns - not significant
*** - significant at 0.05 level
Apparent digestibility coefficient (ADC) of dry matter was affected by dietary protein level in the present study. The highest ADC of dry matter was recorded for diets containing low protein level (30% P) when compared with the diets containing high protein levels (37% P). Digestibility is one of the most important aspects in evaluating the efficiency of feed ingredients. Degani et al., 1997 reported that diets with high ADC (for eg: 83.8%) performed well and showed good growth performance of Cyprinus carpio. Amirkolaie et al. (2006) reported that inclusion level of starch effect the ADC of dry matter and at the high starch level (35.85%) the Nile tilapia were reaching their maximal capacity to digest starch and absorb glucose. ADC of dry matter in experimental diets were varied from 81.35 to 85.45 (Amirkolaie et al., 2006) which was approximately similar to the results of present study. Herbivorous fish have higher ability to digest and absorb carbohydrate than carnivorous fish species, as the higher amylase activity in the digestive tracts of herbivorous fish species (Stone et al., 2003). The inclusion level of starch affected the total amount of feces produced by the fish and the lower amount of feces produced at the higher ADC of dry matter (Amirkolaie et al., 2006). Yeo et al. (2017) mentioned that ADC of dry matter significantly improved by processing (Cooking, extruding or gelatinization) the carbohydrate-rich ingredients.

After 42 days, crude lipid content of the carcass of fish was slightly increased when compared with that of the initial fish. Moses et al. (2018) reported similar results of proximate composition of body compared to present study. Maurice et al. (2018) indicated that whole-body protein and lipid content was significantly influenced by the starch content and that maximum whole-body protein and lipid content were obtained from fish fed with diet containing the highest gelatinized cornstarch levels (21% and 31%). Therefore, when increasing the starch level in formulated diets up to optimum level, it is not negatively effecting on the growth and whole-body proximate composition in Nile tilapia fingerlings.

In the present study protein to energy ratio (P: E) in diets were ranged from 20.3 to 24.23 g/MJ. When the experimental diet contains a high P: E ratio (high protein level), part of the protein utilized for energy and when the P: E ratio is low (low protein level) carbohydrate utilized for energy and protein used mainly for the growth performances and maintenance (Ali et al., 2008). Therefore, in the present study amount of protein used for energy was minimized by increasing dietary carbohydrate source which is a non-protein energy source. Haidar et al. (2018) also reported that protein sparing effect can be used to increase growth of fish and reduces nitrogen excretion. In the present study the fish exhibited similar growth and feed utilization at low dietary protein levels compared to high protein levels and it can be concluded that carbohydrate spare some protein when the dietary protein level is low or at sub optimum level. When fish meets the energy requirements for their basic metabolism and voluntary

**Figure 1.** Mean ± SE of total length of tilapia fish fed four experimental diets. (FM25R17-25g fish meal, 17g starch (fresh root), FM25D17-25g fish meal, 17g starch (dry chips), FM15R25-15g fish meal, 25g starch (fresh root), FM15D25-15g fish meal, 25g starch (dry chips)).
activities, fish usually use dietary protein for their growth (Lee et al., 2000). However, if there is excess protein (relation to energy) or dietary energy is low, protein act as an energy source. Thereby, excess energy may reduce feed consumption, disturb the proper utilization of other nutrient (Shiau and Peng, 1993). Azaza et al. (2015) reported that excess levels of starch significantly reduce the fish growth and feed utilization. Therefore, dietary protein and energy level must be balanced in the diet for maximum growth of fish.

Cost analysis revealed that, fish production in FM15R25 treatment is more profitable than other experimental treatments. R starch prepared from fresh cassava is more profitable than D starch prepared from dried cassava chips, as large amount of cassava fresh roots are required to prepare D starch than R starch. Therefore, the preparation of D starch is expensive than preparation of R starch. The highest profit index was obtained by low protein diets due to the increase of the inclusion level of starch economic analysis indicated that the best profit index would be achieved by using 25% R cassava starch in the diets for Nile tilapia fingerlings. Maurice et al. (2018) mentioned that compared to the diets containing 31% protein without starch, diets containing 31% gelatinized cornstarch and 21% of protein gelatinized cornstarch had the lowest costs. As fishmeal (FM) is the main protein source in fish feed formulation, research was focus on producing diets with low inclusion levels of FM or FM free diets in order to reduce the cost of the feed. Due to the limitations in reducing FM totally as it is difficult to find suitable alternative protein sources to replace FM very few aqua feeds produced with completely replacing fishmeal at present (Caldini et al., 2015; Simon et al., 2019). However, by utilizing carbohydrate source in fish feed preparation, fish meal dependence can be reduced as well as the feed cost can be reduced. Additionally, cassava is an unutilized source especially during harvesting season due to the excess production and farmers can get advantage by marketing this unutilized source for fish feed preparation.

This study demonstrated that the Nile tilapia fingerlings have the capability to utilize the carbohydrate and showed that Cassava starch can be effectively used to obtain optimal growth performances of tilapia. Economic profitability also increased by using higher starch level (25 % starch) while reducing the expensive fishmeal as cassava starch is cheaper than fishmeal. Therefore, the diets formulated to have lower protein level (30% P) with 25% of cassava starch extracted from fresh root (FM15R25) could be the best practical diet for Nile tilapia fingerlings among the tested diets. This diet allows to obtain growth performance similar to diets (FM25R17 or FM25D17) containing 37% of higher protein level.

In the present study only two protein levels have been used to observe the protein sparing effect. Therefore, more investigations must be carried out by

![Figure 2. Mean ± SE of total weight of tilapia fish fed four experimental diets. (FM25R17-25g fish meal, 17g starch (fresh root), FM25D17-25g fish meal, 17g starch (dry chips), FM15R25-15g fish meal, 25g starch (fresh root), FM15D25- 15g fish meal, 25g starch (dry chips)).](image-url)
altering the protein level and starch level to perceive the optimum protein to starch ratio for the Nile tilapia fingerlings. Further studies should be carried out to determine the long term effect of the dietary starch on proximate composition of the muscle of Nile tilapia.
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